1. **The Entity’s City Council and/or City Engineer signs off on all road site protection plans to preserve governmental immunity.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

The Entity’s ordinance and/or Engineer’s job description stipulating authorization to approve plans is available for review.

 [ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. **The Entity has on staff, or has access to, a Caltrans-trained work zone safety specialist to oversee and approve all work zones.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The Entity takes photographs of worksites to document work zone protection.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of work zone photo-documentation are available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The Entity includes language in its contracts that transfers the responsibility for clean-up, debris removal and hazardous materials removal from worksites to the contractor.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Contract language is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The Entity has adopted a minimum Pavement Condition Index to serve as the standard to which road surfacing is to be maintained. Example: PCI=70.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

A copy of the Pavement Condition Index is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The Entity has conducts documented inspections to ensure drains and culverts are clear of debris to reduce the probability of road flooding.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Sample documentation of inspections is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The Entity has on staff or contracts with a Traffic Engineer responsible for the design, monitoring, and maintenance the Entity’s traffic control to ensure the traffic signals are appropriate and that the lighted signals are in good working order (i.e. no burned out or flickering lights, sequencing intervals appropriate to traffic volume, etc.)**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

The Traffic Engineer’s job description is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. **The pavement markings of the roads/streets within the authority of the Entity are visible day and night lighting conditions.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The crosswalk markings of the roads/streets within the authority of the Entity are visible day and night lighting conditions.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The Entity has established a process to ensure the timely response to reports of potholes, sinkholes, loose manhole covers, and other pavement damage.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

A description of the road damage report response process is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. **The Entity has established a process to ensure traffic and parking control signage is visible to vehicular traffic (i.e. trees and shrubs are trimmed, walls, poles, billboards, and fences/walls to not pose obstructions).**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

A description process is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. **The Entity has established a process to ensure clear lines of sight are maintained for vehicular traffic and pedestrian traffic at intersections of roads, driveways, and alleyways (i.e. trees and shrubs are trimmed, walls, poles, billboards, and fences/walls to not pose obstructions to lines of sign or street lighting).**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

A description process is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

1. **The Entity has established a process to ensure that road/street signage is in place and is maintained in a condition that meets Entity, County, State, and Federal signage regulations.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

A description process is available for review.

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No