1. **The Entity has conducted a risk assessment for each force main?**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A (Entity has no sidewalks)

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Sample of risk assessment provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **All ferrous force mains have a dedicated corrosion protection system installed.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **All ferrous force mains have periodic integrity tests performed.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of test results provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The Entity checks pipeline routes at least annually, or after major storms, earthquakes or other events that could damage these assets, in order to check for sinkholes or leaks along force mains.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Documentation of pipelines checks available for review? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity has a process to identify areas subject to excess hydrogen sulfide corrosion.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **If collection systems cleaning activities are performed by outside contractors, the agency/entity requires video (CCTV) inspections before and after cleaning to measure the effectiveness of these activities.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The entity has formal agreements in place to increase resources through established mutual assistance agreements with other agencies/contractors for wet weather episodes or for sanitary sewer overflow response activities.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A (Entity has no sidewalks)

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Names of other agencies/conteactors provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity videos (CCTV) pipes after all sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) to determine the causes of the SSO and whether additional cleaning, repair, or replacement may be necessary to prevent a reoccurrence.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The entity holds post-SSO briefings with collections staff, management and others involved, to evaluate root causes of SSOs and document service changes necessary to be prepared in responding to future SSOs .**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of briefings available for review? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity has equipment immediately available to block the storm drain systems, in an emergency, to prevent untreated or partially treated wastewater from reaching surface waters.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of equipment available was provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity has an SSO Emergency Response Plan that incorporates procedures for pump stations/force main sewers.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

The SSO Emergency Response Plan was made available for review?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity has a written Sewer Overflow Emergency Response Plan that addresses overflows in streets and backups on private property.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Written Sewer Overflow Response Plan was provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity’s employees that respond to sewer overflows and backup incidents have received training on the entity’s Overflow Emergency Response Plan, including sewer backup procedures, spill volume estimation, and start time determination with the last year.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Documentation of training was provided?

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A

1. **The entity’s plans identifies multiple local emergency response restoration firm qualified to respond to sewer backup incidents.**

[ ]  Yes [ ]  No

If ‘No’ was checked: [ ]  In Progress [ ]  Considered & Declined [ ]  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Lists of multiple local emergency restoration firms was provided? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  N/A