1. **The Entity has conducted a risk assessment for each force main?**

Yes  No  N/A (Entity has no sidewalks)

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Sample of risk assessment provided?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **All ferrous force mains have a dedicated corrosion protection system installed.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **All ferrous force mains have periodic integrity tests performed.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of test results provided?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The Entity checks pipeline routes at least annually, or after major storms, earthquakes or other events that could damage these assets, in order to check for sinkholes or leaks along force mains.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Documentation of pipelines checks available for review?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity has a process to identify areas subject to excess hydrogen sulfide corrosion.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **If collection systems cleaning activities are performed by outside contractors, the agency/entity requires video (CCTV) inspections before and after cleaning to measure the effectiveness of these activities.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The entity has formal agreements in place to increase resources through established mutual assistance agreements with other agencies/contractors for wet weather episodes or for sanitary sewer overflow response activities.**

Yes  No  N/A (Entity has no sidewalks)

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Names of other agencies/conteactors provided?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity videos (CCTV) pipes after all sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) to determine the causes of the SSO and whether additional cleaning, repair, or replacement may be necessary to prevent a reoccurrence.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **The entity holds post-SSO briefings with collections staff, management and others involved, to evaluate root causes of SSOs and document service changes necessary to be prepared in responding to future SSOs .**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of briefings available for review?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity has equipment immediately available to block the storm drain systems, in an emergency, to prevent untreated or partially treated wastewater from reaching surface waters.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If ‘No’ was checked: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Examples of equipment available was provided?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity has an SSO Emergency Response Plan that incorporates procedures for pump stations/force main sewers.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

The SSO Emergency Response Plan was made available for review?

Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity has a written Sewer Overflow Emergency Response Plan that addresses overflows in streets and backups on private property.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Written Sewer Overflow Response Plan was provided?  Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity’s employees that respond to sewer overflows and backup incidents have received training on the entity’s Overflow Emergency Response Plan, including sewer backup procedures, spill volume estimation, and start time determination with the last year.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Documentation of training was provided?

Yes  No  N/A

1. **The entity’s plans identifies multiple local emergency response restoration firm qualified to respond to sewer backup incidents.**

Yes  No

If ‘No’ was checked:  In Progress  Considered & Declined  Taking Alternative Action

If not considered or was rejected: Provide narrative details to support response.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Lists of multiple local emergency restoration firms was provided?  Yes  No  N/A